PADUANO & WEINTRAUB LLP

1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NINTH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10020

TELEPHONE: 212-785-9100 TELECOPIER: 212-785-9099

August 29, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Joy Flowers Conti United States District Judge United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania 700 Grant Street Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Re: William Griffis and T. Zenon Pharmaceuticals, LLC (d/b/a Pharmacy

Matters) v. Highmark Blue Cross Blue Shield of Pennsylvania

Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00263-JFC

Dear Judge Conti:

We represent Plaintiffs William Griffis and T. Zenon Pharmaceuticals, LLC. I write in response to counsel for Highmark's letter to the Court dated August 23, 2011.

It is difficult to understand how Highmark can, on the one hand, serve a non-party subpoena seeking documents indisputably relevant to the issues here, but argue that it is not appropriate to engage in "full-blown discovery". Plaintiffs have not objected to the subpoena (indeed we have asked that it be expanded), but believe that it will be necessary to examine a witness or witnesses from Wellmark regarding these documents, which, quite obviously, do not speak for themselves. Should the Court not agree to compel such an examination, Plaintiffs will be forced to serve a subpoena upon Wellmark. Under the circumstances, we request that the Court revisit the Rule 26(f) schedule previously submitted to the Court (Docket No. 21) and set a discovery schedule that is limited, fair and, of course, mutual.

Further, as Highmark's counsel recognizes, the August 8, 2011 decision in Brown, Lowe and T. Zenon Pharmaceuticals, LLC, d/b/a Pharmacy Matters v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Florida, Inc., Civil Action No. 9:11-cv-80390 (S.D. Fla.), is not dispositive of the issues before this Court, and because the plaintiffs in Brown believe that the decision was not properly reasoned, they

PADUANO & WEINTRAUB LLP

Hon. Joy Flowers Conti United States District Judge August 29, 2011 Page 2

have filed a notice of appeal to the Eleventh Circuit. These ERISA claims must be heard in this Circuit.

Plaintiffs are prepared to participate in a claims review procedure directed by the Court. In a similar case in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Templin, Hendricks, Feldman's Medical Center Pharmacy, Inc. and FCS Pharmacy LLC v. Independence Blue Cross. QCC Insurance Company and CareFirst, Inc., Civil Action No. 09-4092 (E.D. Pa.), the Court stated to the parties, "I'm trying to set up a procedure to get them [Plaintiffs] paid." (3/19/10 Tr. at 57) (the relevant pages of the transcript are annexed hereto). The Court then set up an expedited review process which resulted in payment of 100% of the principal amount of the outstanding claims. We believe that a similar approach is warranted here.

We will be prepared to discuss these matters at the conference on August 31, 2011. I thank the Court for its courtesies.

anthony Paduuno (M)

Anthony Paduano

cc: Meghan F. Wise, Esq. (via email) Gerri L. Sperling, Esq. (via email)